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Abstract

Passive vibration shunt control using piezoelectric materials and an electrical network can remove vibration energy from structures. However, the vibration shunt control efficiency relies on the optimization of the vibration energy transfer between a structure and a Lead-Zirconate-Titanate piezoelectric ceramic material. We present an analytical study of a parallel resistor-inductor piezoelectric vibration shunt control on a beam structure using Hamilton’s principle and Galerkin’s method. The influence of the material’s mechanical property on vibration energy transfer between the structure and the Lead-Zirconate-Titanate is discussed. The results of the vibration shunt control with various materials obtained from numerical modeling and experiments are discussed and corroborated.
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1 Introduction

Structural vibration control has been a subject of engineering research for the past few decades. Recently, the use of “smart” materials for vibration control has become an alternative to traditional vibration control techniques. Vibration control with smart materials has several advantages such as lighter weight, smaller size, flexibility in the structure and lower cost. They are especially suitable where the traditional techniques cannot be applied due to weight and size restrictions.

The smart material components such as Lead-Zirconate-Titanate (PZT), a piezoelectric ceramic material, converts mechanical energy into electrical energy and vice versa. Hagood and von Flotow [3] demonstrated that the vibrations of the structure can be damped with piezoelectric materials and a passive electrical (shunt) network. The piezoelectric materials convert vibration energy into electrical energy and then dissipate the electrical energy in the form of joule heating through the passive electrical (shunt) network, which is also known as passive vibration damping.

The electrical (shunt) network consists of resistors, capacitors and inductors. The network is connected across a PZT transducer, which can be modeled as a strain controlled voltage source in series with an inherent capacitance, or a strain controlled current source in parallel with the inherent capacitance. The PZT transducer alters the stiffness and loss factor of the system to be attached. It acts as a Tuned Mass Damper (TMD) or tuned vibration absorber (TVA).

It is widely reported [1, 2, 4, 5] that significant amount of vibration is removed when resistor-inductor (RL) or resistor-inductor-capacitor (RLC) shunt circuits are optimally tuned.

Though our study, we conclude that in order to maximize the vibration energy to be shunted by the PZT, it is preferred to transfer more energy from a structure onto the PZT. Simulation and experimental results show that the
material property of the structure affects the energy transfer between the structure and the PZT.

2 Equation of motion of a composite beam

The “piezoelectric effect” was first discovered by Pierre Curie and his brother Jacques in 1880. When a crystal is under pressure, an electrical potential appears across some of its faces (direct effect). When an electrical field is applied, mechanical deformation of the crystal occurs (converse effect). The most common material possessing the piezoelectric effect is Lead-Zirconium-Titanium (PZT). The piezoelectric effect indicates that there is energy conversion or transduction from one form to another occurring during the deformation process. For passive vibration shunting with piezoelectric material, the “direct effect” is used. The piezoelectric material has the linear constitutive relation

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma \\
E
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
E_p & -h \\
-h & \beta
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon \\
D
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(\varepsilon\) is the mechanical strain matrix of PZT, \(\sigma\) is the mechanical stress matrix of PZT (Pa), \(E\) is the electrical field matrix of PZT (V/m), \(D\) is the electrical displacement matrix of PZT (C/m\(^2\)), \(E_p\) is the elastic modulus matrix of PZT (Pa), \(\beta\) is the dielectric impermeability matrix of PZT (m/F), \(h\) is the electrical displacement-stress coefficient matrix of PZT (V/m).

In this article, “composite material” refers to a polymeric (epoxy) carbon-fibre material while “composite beam” refers to beam made of more than one material. The composite beam shown in Figure 1 is a rectangular beam with a PZT patch attached.

Euler–Bernoulli beam theory is used to model the composite beam which means that only bending deformation are considered, shear and rotation
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deformations are ignored. The potential energy of beam due to bending is

\[
U_b = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_b} \sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11} dV_b = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L E_b I_b \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 dx. \tag{2}
\]

The potential energy of PZT due to bending is

\[
U_p = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_p} (\sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11} + D E) dV_p
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \left[ E_p I_p \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} h_{31} A_p (h_b + h_p) D \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + A_p \beta_{33} D^2 \right] \times [H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)] \ dx, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{11} \) is the axial strain in \( x \) direction, \( \sigma_{11} \) is the axial stress in \( x \) direction (Pa), \( w \) is the transverse displacement (m), \( I_b = bh_b^3/12 + (\bar{z} - z_b)^2 A_b \) is the area moment of inertia about the neutral axis (m\(^4\)), \( I_p = bh_p^3/12 + (\bar{z} - z_p)^2 A_p \) is the area moment of inertia about the neutral axis (m\(^4\)),

\[
\bar{z} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i E_i z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i E_i} = \frac{A_b E_b z_b + A_p E_p z_p}{A_b E_b + A_p E_p}
\]

is the neutral axis of composite beam (m), and \( H(x) \) is the Heaviside function.

The total potential energy is \( U = U_b + U_p \). The kinetic energy of beam due
to bending is

\[ T_b = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \rho_b A_b \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \, dx. \]  

(4)

The kinetic energy of PZT due to bending is

\[ T_p = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \rho_p A_p \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \left[ H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2) \right] \, dx. \]  

(5)

The total kinetic energy is \( T = T_b + T_p \). According to Hamilton’s principle

\[ \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (T - U + W) \, dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta T - \delta U + \delta W_f + \delta W_p) \, dt = 0, \]  

(6)

where \( \delta W \) represents virtual work, \( \delta W_p \) is the virtual work due to electrical displacement, \( \delta W_f \) is the virtual work due to applied force

\[ \delta W_p = \int_{V_p} E \delta D \, dV_p, \]  

(7)

and

\[ \delta W_f = \delta \int_0^L f(x,t)w(x,t) \, dx. \]  

(8)

The motion equation of the composite beam therefore is

\[ E_b I_b \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + E_p I_p \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \left[ H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2) \right] \]
\[ + 2E_p I_p \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \left[ \delta(x - x_1) - \delta(x - x_2) \right] \]
\[ + E_p I_p \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[ \delta'(x - x_1) - \delta'(x - x_2) \right] + \rho_b A_b \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} \]
\[ + \rho_p A_p \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} \left[ H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2) \right] \]
\[ - h_{31} A_p(h_b + \frac{h_p}{2})D_3 \left[ \delta'(x - x_1) - \delta'(x - x_2) \right] = f(x,t), \]  

(9)
with boundary conditions
\[
E_b I_b \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \delta \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \bigg|_0^L = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \delta \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) = 0,
\]
\[
E_b I_b \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \delta w \bigg|_0^L = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \delta w = 0.
\]  

(10)

3 Composite beam with parallel RL shunt networks

According to the mode separation method

\[
w(x, t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \phi_r(x)q_r(t) \approx \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r(x)q_r(t),
\]  

(11)

where \(\phi_r(x)\) satisfies all the boundary conditions, and \(q_r(t)\) is time function.

Substitute Equation (11) into Equation (9), using Galerkin’s method, the partial differential equation of Equation (9) is discretized into an ordinary differential equation as shown below:

\[
E_b I_b \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r^{(4)}(x)q_r(t) + E_p I_p \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r^{(4)}(t)[H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)]
\]
\[
+ 2E_p I_p \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r^{(3)}q_r(t)[\delta(x - x_1) - \delta(x - x_2)] + E_p I_p \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r''(x)q_r(t)[\delta'(x - x_1)]
\]
\[
+ \rho_b A_b \sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r(x)\ddot{q}_r(t) + \rho_p A_p[H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)]\sum_{r=1}^{N} \phi_r(x)\ddot{q}_r(t)
\]
\[
- h_{31} A_p \left( h_b + \frac{h_p}{2} \right) D_3[\delta'(x - x_1) - \delta'(x - x_2)] - f(x, t) = \varepsilon;
\]
minimizing $\varepsilon$ by $\langle \varepsilon, \phi_s \rangle = 0$, 

$$\int_0^L \varepsilon(x, t) \phi_s(x) \, dx = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots, N$$

(14)
obtains

$$\sum_{r=1}^N \left( \int_0^L \left[ \rho_b A_b + \rho_p A_p \left( H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2) \right) \right] \phi_r(x) \phi_s(x) \, dx \right) \ddot{q}_r(t)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^N \left( \int_0^L \left[ E_b I_b + E_p I_p \left( H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2) \right) \right] \phi_r''(x) \phi_s''(x) \, dx \right) q_r(t)$$

$$- \frac{h_{31} A_p}{\beta_{33}} \left( \frac{h_p}{2} \right) D_3 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi_s''(x) \, dx = \int_0^L f(x, t) \phi_s(x) \, dx,$$

(15)

where

$$D_3 = \frac{1}{\beta_{33}} \left( E_3 + h_{31} \varepsilon_{11} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta_{33}} \left( \frac{v(t)}{h_p} + h_{31} \varepsilon_{11} \right).$$

(16)

When a parallel RL shunt circuit is connected across the electrodes of the PZT, the electrical current due to electrical charge generated from the mechanical stress flows to the RL shunt circuit. According to Kirchhoff’s laws, this is

$$i(t) = - \frac{dQ}{dt} = - S_p \frac{dD_3}{dt} = - C_p \frac{dv(t)}{dt} - h_{31} h_p C_p \frac{d\varepsilon_{11}}{dt} = i_L(t) + i_R(t)$$

(17)

where $C_p = S_p / (\beta_{33} h_p)$, $Q$ is the electrical charge of PZT, $S_p$ is the surface area of PZT. Equation (17) can be rewritten as

$$i_C + i_L + i_R = -I_S,$$

(18)

where $i_C = C_p \frac{dv}{dt}$ is the electrical current in $C_p$, where $i_L$ and $i_R$ are electrical currents in the inductor and resistor of shunt circuit respectively, and where $I_S = h_{31} h_p C_p \frac{d\varepsilon_{11}}{dt}$ is the electrical current generated due to the mechanical stress. The circuit model of Equation (18) is depicted in Figure 2 that represents the parallel RL shunt circuit. The voltage $v(t)$ is obtained
Composite beam with parallel RL shunt networks

Figure 2: Equivalent circuit of parallel RL shunt.

From

\[ v(t) = \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_C dt = -\frac{1}{C_p} \int \left( h_{31} h_p C_p \frac{d\varepsilon_{11}}{dt} + i_R + i_L \right) dt \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{C_p} \int \left( h_{31} h_p C_p \frac{d\varepsilon_{11}}{dt} + \frac{L_0}{R_0} \frac{di_L}{dt} + i_L \right) dt \]

\[ = -h_{31} h_p \varepsilon_{11} - \frac{L_0}{R_0 C_p} i_L - \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_L dt. \]  

Equation (19)

Substituting Equation (19) into Equation (16) obtains

\[ D_3 = -\frac{1}{\beta_{33} h_p} \left( \frac{L_0}{R_0 C_p} i_L + \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_L dt \right). \]  

Equation (20)

Then Equation (15) becomes

\[ \sum_{r=1}^{N} \left( \int_0^L \left[ \rho b A_b + \rho p A_p [H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)] \right] \phi_r(x) \phi_s(x) dx \right) \ddot{q}_r(t) \]

\[ + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \left( \int_0^L \left[ \rho b A_b + \rho p A_p [H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)] \right] \phi_r(x) \phi_s(x) dx \right) q_r(t) \]
+B_L i_L + B_R \int i_L dt = \int_0^L f(x, t) \phi_s(x) \, dx , \quad (21)

where

\[ B_L = \frac{h_{31} h_p (2h_b + h_p) L_0}{2(x_2 - x_1)} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi''_s(s) \, dx , \quad (22) \]
\[ B_R = \frac{h_{31} h_p (2h_b + h_p)}{2(x_2 - x_1)} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi''_s(s) \, dx . \quad (23) \]

On the other hand, since \( v(t) = L_0 \frac{di_L}{dt} \) and combining this with Equation (19), thus

\[ L_0 \frac{di_L}{dt} = -h_{31} h_p \varepsilon_{11} - \frac{L_0}{R_0 C_p} i_L - \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_L dt , \]

or

\[ L_0 \frac{di_L}{dt} + \frac{L_0}{R_0 C_p} i_L + \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_L dt = h_{31} h_p z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} , \quad (24) \]

where strain \( \varepsilon_{11} \) has been replaced by \( -z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \). Integrating both sides of Equation (24) and considering equation \( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = \left( \sum_{r=1}^N \phi''_r(x) \right) q_r(t) \),

\[ \int_{V_p} \left( L_0 \frac{di_L}{dt} + \frac{L_0}{R_0 C_p} i_L + \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_L dt \right) \, dV_p = h_{31} h_p \int_{V_p} z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \, dV_p , \]

or

\[ \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left\{ b \int_{h_b}^{h_b + h_p} \left( L_0 \frac{di_L}{dt} + \frac{L_0}{R_0 C_p} i_L + \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_L dt \right) \, dz \right\} \, dx \]

\[ = h_{31} h_p \int_{x_1}^{x_2} b \int_{h_b}^{h_b + h_p} z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \, dz \, dx , \quad (25) \]

one can have

\[ \frac{di_L}{dt} + \frac{1}{R_0 C_p} i_L + \frac{1}{L_0 C_p} \int i_L dt - \frac{h_{31} h_p (h_b + h_p)}{2(x_2 - x_1) L_0} \left( \sum_{r=1}^N \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi''_r(x) \, dx \right) q_r = 0 . \quad (26) \]
4 Numerical example

Equation (21) is written in a concise form as

$$\sum_{r=1}^{N} m_{sr} \ddot{q}_r + \sum_{r=1}^{N} k_{sr} q_r + B_L i_L + B_R \int i_L dt = f_d(t).$$  \hspace{4cm} (27)

Equation (26) is written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} i_L + c_R i_L + c_L \int i_L dt + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \Phi_r q_r = 0,$$  \hspace{4cm} (28)

where $c_R = 1/(R_0 C_p)$ and $c_L = 1/(L_0 C_p)$, respectively,

$$\Phi_r = -\frac{h_{31} h_p (h_b + \frac{h_p}{2})}{(x_2 - x_1) L_0} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi''(x) \, dx,$$  \hspace{4cm} (29)

$$m_{sr} = \int_0^L \left[ \rho_b A_b + \rho_p A_p [H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)] \right] \phi_r(x) \phi_s(x) \, dx,$$  \hspace{4cm} (30)

$$k_{sr} = \int_0^L \left[ E_b I_b + E_p I_p [H(x - x_1) - H(x - x_2)] \right] \phi''(x) \phi''(x) \, dx,$$  \hspace{4cm} (31)

$$f_d = \int_0^L f(x, t) \phi_s(x) \, dx = \int_0^L F_d(t) \delta(x - x_d) \phi_s(x) \, dx.$$  \hspace{4cm} (32)

4 Numerical example

By making $\phi_r(x) = \sin(r \pi x / L)$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, which satisfies all boundary conditions (Hinged-Hinged), Equations (29), (22) and (23) are

$$\Phi_r = \frac{h_{31} h_p (h_b + \frac{h_p}{2}) r \pi}{(x_2 - x_1) L} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{r \pi x_1}{L} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{r \pi x_2}{L} \right) \right], \hspace{1cm} r = 1, \ldots, N,$$  \hspace{4cm} (33)

$$B_L = \frac{h_{31} (h_b + \frac{h_p}{2}) L_0 s \pi}{LR_0} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{s \pi x_1}{L} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{s \pi x_2}{L} \right) \right], \hspace{1cm} s = 1, \ldots, N.$$  \hspace{4cm} (34)
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\[ B_R = \frac{h_{31}(h_b + \frac{h_p}{2})s\pi}{L} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{s\pi x_1}{L} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{s\pi x_2}{L} \right) \right] \], \quad s = 1, \ldots, N. \quad (35) \]

For \( s = r \),

\[ m_{rr} = \frac{\rho_b A_b L + \rho_p A_p (x_2 - x_1)}{2} + \frac{\rho_p A_p L}{2\pi r} \left\{ \sin \left( \frac{2\pi r x_1}{L} \right) - \sin \left( \frac{2\pi r x_2}{L} \right) \right\}, \quad (36) \]

\[ k_{rr} = \left( \frac{r\pi}{L} \right)^4 \left\{ \frac{E_b I_b L + E_p I_p (x_2 - x_1)}{2} + \frac{E_p I_p L}{2\pi r} \left[ \sin \left( \frac{2\pi r x_1}{L} \right) - \sin \left( \frac{2\pi r x_2}{L} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (37) \]

For \( s \neq r \),

\[ m_{sr} = \frac{\rho_p A_p L}{\pi (r^2 - s^2)} \left( r \sin \frac{s\pi x_1}{L} \cos \frac{r\pi x_1}{L} - s \sin \frac{r\pi x_1}{L} \cos \frac{s\pi x_1}{L} \right. \]
\[ - r \sin \frac{s\pi x_2}{L} \cos \frac{r\pi x_2}{L} + s \sin \frac{r\pi x_2}{L} \cos \frac{s\pi x_2}{L} \left), \quad (38) \]

\[ k_{sr} = \frac{E_p I_p L}{(r^2 - s^2)\pi} \left( \frac{r s \pi^2}{L^2} \right)^2 \left( r \sin \frac{s\pi x_1}{L} \cos \frac{r\pi x_1}{L} - s \sin \frac{r\pi x_1}{L} \cos \frac{s\pi x_1}{L} \right. \]
\[ - r \sin \frac{s\pi x_2}{L} \cos \frac{r\pi x_2}{L} + s \sin \frac{r\pi x_2}{L} \cos \frac{s\pi x_2}{L} \left). \quad (39) \]

The matrix form of Equation (27) is

\[ M \ddot{q} + K q + B_L i_L + B_R \ddot{i}_L = f_d. \quad (40) \]

When considering internal structural damping, Equation (40) is extended to

\[ M \ddot{q} + C \dot{q} + K q + B_L i_L + B_R \ddot{i}_L = f_d, \quad (41) \]

where

\[ C = \alpha M + \gamma K. \]
The matrix form of Equation (28) is

\[ \dot{i}_L + C_R i_L + C_L \tilde{i}_L + \Phi q = 0, \]

where

\[ \tilde{i}_L = \int i_L \, dt. \]

Convert Equation (41) and (42) to state space form

\[ \dot{x} = A_S x + B_S u, \]
\[ y = C_S x + D_S u, \]

where

\[ x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4]^T_{4N \times 1} = [q \ \dot{q} \ \tilde{i}_L \ i_L]^T_{4N \times 1}, \]
\[ u = [f_d]^T_{4N \times 1}, \]
\[ A_S = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & I & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{M^2}K & -\frac{1}{M^2}C & -\frac{1}{M^2}B_R & -\frac{1}{M^2}B_L \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I \\
-\Phi & 0 & -\Gamma & -\Omega
\end{bmatrix}_{4N \times 4N}, \]
\[ B_S = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\frac{1}{M} \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}_{4N \times N}, \]
\[ C_S = [C_1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]_{1 \times 4N}, \]
\[ C_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \frac{\pi x_m}{L} & \cdots & \sin \frac{N \pi x_m}{L}
\end{bmatrix}_{1 \times N}, \]
\[ D_S = [0]_{1 \times N}, \]
\[ \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{C_{PR_1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}_{1 \times N}, \]
\[ \Omega = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{C_{PL_1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}_{1 \times N}, \]
\[ \Phi = \begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}. \]

Given an aluminium beam and a PZT, where the parameters are
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Beam

\[ V_b = 0.5 \times 0.025 \times 0.003 \text{ m}^3, \quad \rho_b = 2700 \text{ Kg/m}^3, \]
\[ E_b = 70 \text{ GPa}, \quad \alpha = 0.2, \quad \gamma = 10^{-7}, \quad x_d = 0.125 \text{ m}. \]

PZT

\[ V_p = 0.06 \times 0.025 \times 0.00025 \text{ m}^3, \quad x_1 = 0.095 \text{ m}, \quad x_2 = 0.155 \text{ m}, \]
\[ \rho_p = 7600 \text{ Kg/m}^3, \quad E_p = 70 \text{ GPa}, \quad h_{31} = 7.65 \times 10^8 \text{ V/m}, \]
\[ \beta_{33} = 7.33 \times 10^7 \text{ m/F}, \quad C_p = \frac{S_p}{\beta_{33} h_p} = 82 \times 10^{-9} \text{ F}. \]

Shunt circuit components to target the first mode are

\[ L_1 = 400 \text{ H}, \quad L_2 = 24.64 \text{ H}, \quad L_3 = 4.846 \text{ H}, \]
\[ R_1 = 340 \text{ k\Omega}, \quad R_2 = 100 \text{ k\Omega}, \quad R_3 = 70 \text{ k\Omega}. \]

Solving the state space Equation (43) with MATLAB obtains the impulse responses and frequency response of the composite beam with a force vector \( f_d = 10^{-2}[1 1 1]^T \) (which is equivalent to have equal point force being applied at the anti-node of each mode) and vibration measured at \( x_m = L/4 \) from origin resulting in \( C_1(r) = \sin(r\pi x_m/L) = \sin(r\pi/4), \quad r = 1, 2, 3 \), as shown in Figure 3. The natural frequencies of the composite beam are approximately 28, 112, and 252 Hz respectively. Figure 3 shows that the vibration amplitude of the beam is significantly reduced when the inductor of shunt circuit is tuned to the frequency to be controlled, that is,

\[ L_i = \frac{1}{(2\pi f_i)^2 C_p}. \]

However, the RL shunt control possesses narrowband characteristics which it is sensitive to the mismatch between the frequency of shunt circuit and the natural frequency to be controlled. When the shunt circuit is ill-tuned, the control becomes worse. Figure 4 is the simulation when \( L_1 = 365 \text{ H} \) which gives the control frequency of the shunt circuit about 29 Hz, 1 Hz off the center frequency of the first mode. The control effect is slightly worse when compared with that in Figure 3.
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(a) Impulse response

(b) Frequency response

Figure 3: Impulse and frequency responses of composite beam at $x_m = L/4$, $L_1 = 400$ Hz.
Efficient vibration shunt control involves determining the optimum size of PZT patches and location to place them in order to achieve optimal performance and minimize cost. However, each PZT patch has to be firmly glued on the structure before it can take effect. Therefore, the PZT patch becomes unusable after being glued. Therefore, it will be quite expensive in both time and resources if experiments alone are carried out. It is desirable to have some method to determine the optimal size and location of PZT patch before it is attached to a structure. Since the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) software package ANSYS has a coupled field capability (SOLID5 is the 3D coupled field solid element and CIRCU94 is the piezoelectric circuit element), it has the means to construct a RL electrical shunt network connected across a piezoelectric patch bonded on a structure to simulate piezoelectric vibration.
shunt control.

The object used for modeling is a $0.5 \times 0.025 \times 0.003$ m$^3$ aluminum beam with a $0.05 \times 0.025 \times 0.0005$ m$^3$ PZT patch attached. The boundary condition of the beam is “clamped-free”. The element for modeling aluminum beam is SOLID45, a 3D structure solid element. The density and Young’s modulus of the aluminum beam are $\rho_b = 2700$ Kg/m$^3$ and $E_b = 66$ GPa respectively. The element for modeling piezoelectric transducer PZT is SOLID5, a 3D coupled field solid element. The density and Young’s modulus of the PZT are $\rho_p = 7730$ Kg/m$^3$ and $E_p = 66$ GPa respectively. The element for modeling shunt circuit component (resistor and inductor) is CIRCU94, a circuit element.

Figure 5 is the ANSYS model of the structure with PZT attached. A parallel RL circuit is connected across the electrodes of PZT. The inherent static capacitance of the PZT measured was about $C_p = 31.4$ nF.

Figure 6 shows the harmonic analysis of the single mode parallel RL shunt. The shunt circuit has been tuned individually to target the mode to be controlled. (a) is the spectrum before shunt, (b)–(d) are the spectra of the first to third modes after shunt respectively. The graphs show that the amplitudes of the first to third modes have been reduced by about 84%, 70% and 80%, (or 16 dB, 10.5 dB, and 14 dB) respectively.

6 ANASYS simulation with different material properties

The ANASYS simulation results in Figure 6 show that with the shunt control the vibration amplitude of aluminum beam at natural frequencies can be significantly reduced. However, simulation showed that the effectiveness of the shunt control on beam is dramatically worsened when the beam material is changed to wood.
6 ANSYS simulation with different material properties

**Figure 5:** Modeling of single mode parallel RL piezoelectric shunt on a cantilever beam with ANSYS
Figure 6: Harmonic analysis of the aluminum beam vibration
6 ANASYS simulation with different material properties

**Figure 7:** Harmonic analysis of the wooden beam vibration
Figure 7 displays the vibration spectrum of a wooden beam which Young’s modulus is equal to $E_b = 66 \text{ GPa}$, and density $\rho_b = 553 \text{ Kg/m}^3$. The results show that little amplitude has been reduced at natural frequencies. This is probably because the Young’s modulus and the density of the wood are much smaller than that of the PZT ceramic.

7 Built-up beam

In order to improve the efficiency of vibration shunting for a wooden beam a built-up beam was constructed as shown in Figure 8. The left section is wooden material, right section is a composite material with Young’s modulus $E_b = 66 \text{ GPa}$, and density $\rho_b = 1500 \text{ Kg/m}^3$. The PZT patch was attached on the top of composite material beam.

Figure 9 is the simulation results of the built-up beam. It shows that the deduction of vibration amplitude at natural frequency is markedly improved. The first to third modes were reduced about 48%, 50%, and 80% (or 5.68 dB, 6 dB, and 14 dB) respectively.
Figure 9: Harmonic analysis of the built-up beam vibration
8 Experimental results

The piezoelectric vibration shunt experiments were carried on aluminum, wood and carbon-fibre composite beams respectively. Figures 10–12 show the time and spectrum results of before and after shunting with the OROS spectrum analyzer. The beam lengths are about 500 mm, widths are between 25–40 mm, and thicknesses are between 2–3 mm. The boundary conditions are free-clamped. The PZT used is PSI-5A4E piezoelectric sheet (T107-A4E-602) from Piezo Systems. After the shunting process, the reduction in amplitude of vibration is 90% for the aluminum beam (Figure 10), 8% for wooden beam (Figure 11), and 80% for carbon-fibre composite beam (Figure 12). The experimental results were predicted by simulation. By using carbon-fibre composite beam, the vibration reduction efficiency can be greatly improved when compared with the wooden beam since the Young’s modulus of carbon-fibre composite beam can be chosen to be much closer to that of the PZT.

9 Conclusions

The use of piezoelectric materials in conjunction with resistor-inductor shunt circuits can effectively reduce the vibration amplitudes of structures. An analytical study on the parallel resistor-inductor piezoelectric shunt control using the Hamilton’s principle and the Galerkin’s method has been presented. The effectiveness of vibration reduction is related to the optimum size and placement of the piezoelectric transducer and the optimum value of resistors, and inductors. On the other hand, the experiments are costly and time consuming. It is thus desirable to have a system to be properly simulated before a final design can be made. This article shows a numerical method of designing a piezoelectric vibration shunt control system by using Finite Element Analyses. With the help of such numerical methods, designers can have much more flexibility in designing an optimal control system. Material properties
9 Conclusions

(a) Aluminum beam before shunting

(b) Aluminum beam after shunting

Figure 10:
(a) Wooden beam before shunting

(b) Wooden beam after shunting

Figure 11:
(a) Carbon-fibre composite beam before shunting

(b) Carbon-fibre composite beam after shunting

**Figure 12:**
(Mechanical and physical) of the structure to be controlled can significantly affect the shunt control ability due to the neutral axis location. There is an optimal energy transfer ratio from the structure to the PZT for a given ratio of thickness between the structure and the PZT. The equation of the ratio of energy transfer has been derived. Simulation and experimental results have shown the impact of material property variations. Vibration control on the carbon-fibre beam, in particular, was shown to be very effective using this technique. The results are corroborated from analytical, experimental and computational analyses.
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